



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,662	09/30/2003	Truc Nguyen	66329/00008	5004

23380 7590 12/05/2008  
TUCKER ELLIS & WEST LLP  
1150 HUNTINGTON BUILDING  
925 EUCLID AVENUE  
CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1414

EXAMINER
----------

HANG, VU B

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2625

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

12/05/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patents@tuckerellis.com  
christopher.luoma@tuckerellis.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/674,662	<b>Applicant(s)</b> NGUYEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Vu B. Hang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2625	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Vu B. Hang. (3) \_\_\_\_.

(2) John X. Garred. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 02 December 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic    b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant    2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes    e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hansen et al. (US Patent 6,407,820 B1) and Bhogal et al. (US Patent 7,088,462).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The proposed amendment for Claim 1 was discussed. A new claim limitation concerning the printer job language commands was added to Claim 1. The applicant's representative pointed out where this feature is covered in the specification, and intends to explain in detail how this feature distinguishes over the cited prior art. Further consideration and search will be conducted once a formal response is received.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Vu B. Hang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2625	
---	--